



POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

# Elicitation of expert prior opinion: application to the mypan trial in childhood polyarteritis nodosa

Lisa Hampson<sup>1</sup>, John Whitehead<sup>1</sup>, Despina Eleftheriou<sup>2</sup>, Catherine Tudur-Smith<sup>3</sup>, Rachel Jones<sup>4</sup>, David Jayne<sup>4</sup>, Helen Hickey<sup>5</sup>, Paul Brogan<sup>2\*</sup>, MYPAN vasculitis expert group

From 21st European Pediatric Rheumatology (PREs) Congress  
Belgrade, Serbia. 17-21 September 2014

## Introduction

A major challenge in rare diseases is conducting clinical trials with sufficient power to inform best clinical practice when anticipated sample sizes are small. Historically, this has been a major barrier in rare paediatric autoimmune diseases. Bayesian methodology can be used to augment the sparse therapeutic data obtained from clinical trials in these circumstances.

## Objectives

We elicited expert prior opinion for a future Bayesian randomised controlled trial for a rare inflammatory paediatric disease, polyarteritis nodosa (MYPAN, Mycophenolate mofetil for polyarteritis nodosa).

## Methods

A Bayesian prior elicitation meeting was convened. Participating experts were drawn from across the EU and Turkey. Opinion was sought on the probability that a patient in the MYPAN trial treated with cyclophosphamide would achieve disease remission within 6-months, and on the relative efficacies of mycophenolate mofetil and cyclophosphamide. Expert opinion was combined with previously unseen data from a recently completed randomised controlled trial of mycophenolate mofetil versus cyclophosphamide in anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody associated vasculitis.

## Results

A pan-European group of fifteen experts participated in the elicitation meeting. Consensus expert prior opinion was that the most likely rates of disease remission within 6 months on cyclophosphamide or mycophenolate mofetil

were 74% and 71% respectively. This prior opinion will now be taken in to account and will be modified to formulate a Bayesian posterior opinion when data from 40 patients completing the trial randomised at a 1:1 ratio to either receive cyclophosphamide or mycophenolate mofetil are available.

## Conclusion

We suggest that this methodological template could be applied to trial design for other rare diseases, and is of particular relevance to rare autoimmune conditions that currently lack a good evidence base for treatment.

## Disclosure of interest

None declared.

## Authors' details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK. <sup>2</sup>Paediatric Rheumatology, Institute of Child Health, London, UK. <sup>3</sup>MRC North West Hub for Trials Methodology Research, Department of Biostatistics, Liverpool University, Liverpool, UK. <sup>4</sup>Department of Renal Medicine, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge, UK. <sup>5</sup>Medicines for Children Research Network Clinical Trials Unit, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

Published: 17 September 2014

doi:10.1186/1546-0096-12-S1-P125

**Cite this article as:** Hampson *et al.*: Elicitation of expert prior opinion: application to the mypan trial in childhood polyarteritis nodosa. *Pediatric Rheumatology* 2014 **12**(Suppl 1):P125.

<sup>2</sup>Paediatric Rheumatology, Institute of Child Health, London, UK  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article