RESEARCH ARTICLE



Disease relapse rate in children with autoimmune rheumatic diseases after COVID-19 infection and vaccination



Tjaša Šinkovec Savšek¹, Mojca Zajc Avramovič¹, Tadej Avčin^{1,2}, Miša Korva³, Tatjana Avšič Županc³ and Nataša Toplak^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background Paediatric patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases (pARD) are often immunocompromised because of the disease and/or the therapy they receive. At the beginning of COVID-19 pandemic there was a great concern about the possibility of severe SARS-CoV-2 infection in these patients. The best method of protection is vaccination, so as soon as vaccine was licenced, we aimed to vaccinate them. Data on disease relapse rate after COVID-19 infection and vaccination are scarce, but they play important role in everyday clinical decisions.

Methods The aim of this study was to determine the relapse rate of autoimmune rheumatic disease (ARD) after COVID-19 infection and vaccination. Data on demographic, diagnosis, disease activity, therapy, clinical presentation of the infection and serology were collected from pARD who had COVID-19 and from pARD who were vaccinated against COVID-19, from March 2020 to April 2022. All vaccinated patients received two doses of the BNT162b2 BioNTech vaccine, on average, 3.7 (S.D.=1.4) weeks apart. Activity of the ARD was followed prospectively. Relapse was defined as a worsening of the ARD in a time frame of 8 weeks after infection or vaccination. For statistical analysis, Fisher's exact test and Mann-Whitney U test were used.

Results We collected data from 115 pARD, which we divided into two groups. We included 92 pARD after infection and 47 after vaccination, with 24 in both groups (they were infected before/after vaccination). In 92 pARD we registered 103 SARS-CoV-2 infections. Infection was asymptomatic in 14%, mild in 67% and moderate in 18%, 1% required hospitalization; 10% had a relapse of ARD after infection and 6% after vaccination. There was a trend towards higher disease relapse rate after infection compared to vaccination, but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.76). No statistically significant difference was detected in the relapse rate depending on the clinical presentation of the infection (p=0.25) or the severity of the clinical presentation of COVID-19 between vaccinated and unvaccinated pARD (p=0.31).

Conclusions There is a trend towards a higher relapse rate in pARD after infection compared to vaccination and connection between the severity of COVID-19 and vaccination status is plausible. Our results were, however, not statistically significant.

*Correspondence: Nataša Toplak natasa.toplak@kclj.si

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence are only our intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2 infection, Vaccination, Paediatric autoimmune rheumatic diseases, Relapse rate

Background

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a highly contagious disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1, 2]. Infected children usually suffer from systemic and respiratory symptoms such as fever, cough, sore throat, runny nose, sneezing, and nasal congestion [2]. The clinical presentation of COVID-19 in children is milder and the outcome favourable compared to adults. In children with COVID-19 who required hospitalization, the mortality rate was approximately 0.2% [2].

Because patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases (ARD) have a dysregulated immune system there was a concern that they could be more susceptible to COVID-19 and have a more severe clinical presentation [3]. Studies on adult patients with ARD did not prove this, as clinical features of SARS-CoV-2 infection, the need for hospitalization, and the mortality rate were similar in patients with and without ARD [4]. In Atlanta, USA, a study was done among paediatric patients with ARD (pARD) infected with SARS-CoV-2. The researchers concluded that a worse clinical presentation and increased odds for hospitalization were associated with African American race, pre-existing cardiovascular disease, active ARD at the time of infection, and severe immunosuppression [5]. Another study was performed in Washington, DC, USA, where underlying medical conditions were reported to play an important role in the severity of COVID-19 in children. The most common underlying diagnosis was asthma, but diabetes, obesity, neurologic, hematologic, and oncologic conditions were also recorded [6].

The EULAR study, published in March 2022, included 607 children and adolescents with ARD from 25 different countries. Results showed that 43 (7%) patients required hospitalization and among these three patients died, indicating that the majority were not hospitalized and required no intervention [7].

Knowing that most pARD were not at risk for a more challenging course of COVID-19 was relieving but questions about the impact of COVID-19 on ARD remained unanswered. A German study showed that SARS-CoV-2 infection caused flares in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). Relapses were seen in 7 out of 13 (54%) JIA patients who had a positive serology for SARS-CoV-2 [8].

The first vaccine approved for adolescents aged 12–18 years was the BNT162b2 Comirnaty (Pfizer-BioNTech), based on the delivery of messenger RNA encoding the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein [9, 10]. A study done in Israel enrolled 91 pARD and healthy controls who were

vaccinated with two doses of the BNT162b2 Comirnaty vaccine. It was shown that the vaccine is safe and effective. Changes in immunomodulatory medications were required in eight patients after the first and in four patients after the second dose of vaccine [11].

Methods

The aim of the study was to investigate the relapse rate of ARD in pARD after SARS-CoV-2 infection and after vaccination against COVID-19. It was a single-centre study conducted between March 2020 and April 2022, at the University Children's Hospital in Ljubljana, Slovenia.

We followed pARD after infection and vaccination prospectively. Data on COVID-19 infection were collected at regular visits in the rheumatology outpatient clinic.

Study population

The study population included children (ages 2–12 years), adolescents (ages 12–18 years), and young adults (ages 18–23 years) with ARD including JIA, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), systemic vasculitis, idiopathic uveitis, systemic or juvenile localized scleroderma (jLS), juvenile dermatomyositis (jDM) and chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO). Other, less common diagnoses included rheumatic fever, cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome (CAPS) and undifferentiated connective tissue disease (UCTD). All patients were diagnosed according to the valid criteria for their respective disease [12–18].

Patients were divided into two groups based on the criteria they met:

- a) Group 1 (pARD after infection): laboratoryconfirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection with a real-time RT-PCR test or a rapid antigen test or positive serology for IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in pARD with a confirmed contact with SARS-CoV-2.
- b) Group 2 (pARD after vaccination): patients who received at least two doses of the BNT162b2 Comirnaty vaccine in a 3–9 weeks' time span.

Serology for IgG anti-sars-cov-2 antibodies

In addition to real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assays used to confirm acute infections with SARS-CoV-2, establishing the antibody immune response is very important for the retrospective determination of COVID-19 in asymptomatic patients with a confirmed contact with SARS-CoV-2. For this purpose, measurement of total anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies is used [19]. In the present study anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies were measured with Anti-SARS-CoV-2 ELISA (IgG) EUROIMMUN, Lübeck, Germany, according to the manufacturer's instructions and as previously described [20]. A sample is defined as positive for the presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies when the calculated value is greater than or equal to 1.1. When the calculated value is less than 0.8, the sample is defined as negative. According to the manufacturer's instructions, samples with values between 0.8 and 1.1 are defined as "threshold values" [20, 21].

Severity of clinical presentation of SARS-cov-2 infection

Patients from Group 1 (pARD after infection) were divided in four subcategories based on the symptoms:

- a) Asymptomatic infection: patient did not report any symptoms.
- b) Mild clinical presentation: patient reported a loss of smell and/or taste, fever of 38 °C or less for up to two days, mild headache and/or cough with no other symptoms.
- c) Moderate clinical presentation: patient reported fever lasting more than two days or above 38 °C, myalgia, and/or other symptoms not included in the previous subcategory.
- d) Hospitalization: because of SARS-CoV-2 infection, patient required hospitalization.

Relapse of autoimmune rheumatic disease

To assess whether a patient had a relapse of ARD after COVID-19 or vaccination against COVID-19, four categories were defined:

a) No relapse.

Table 1 Patients' basic characteristics

Characteristics	Group 1 ^a (n=92)	Group
		2 ^b
		(n=47)
Mean age (S.D.) in years	13.4 (4.1)	15.9
		(2.4)
≥12 years, n (%)	61 (66)	46 (98)
Females, n (%)	67 (73)	30 (64)
Diagnosis		
JIA ^c , n (%)	74 (81)	40 (86)
SLE ^d and vasculitis, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (3)	0 (0)
Uveitis, n (%)	3 (3)	2 (4)
jDM ^e , n (%)	2 (2)	0 (0)
CRMO ^f , n (%)	2 (2)	2 (4)
jLS ^g , n (%)	3 (3)	1 (2)
jSS ^h , n (%)	0 (0)	1 (2)
Other ⁱ , <i>n</i> (%)	5 (6)	1 (2)

^a Group 1 – pARD after infection, ^b Group 2 – pARD after vaccination, ^c JIA – juvenile idiopathic arthritis, ^d SLE – systemic lupus erythematosus, ^e jDM – juvenile dermatomyositis, ^f CRMO – chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis, ^g JLS – juvenile localised scleroderma, ^h JSS – juvenile systemic scleroderma, ⁱ Other – cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome, rheumatic fever and undifferentiated connective tissue disease

- b) Mild relapse of ARD: patient suffered a clinical worsening of ARD however no treatment adjustment was required.
- c) Moderate relapse of ARD: patient suffered a clinical worsening of ARD that required a treatment adjustment.
- d) Severe relapse of ARD: patient suffered a clinical worsening of ARD which required a treatment adjustment in the hospital (hospitalization).

For a clinical worsening of ARD to be considered a result of COVID-19 or vaccination against COVID-19, the relapse had to occur up to 8 weeks after infection or vaccination. Potential relapse was assessed by medical history, clinical examination, laboratory results (when available), and a VAS numerical grade obtained from caregivers and patients. In patients with JIA, juvenile arthritis disease activity score 10 (JADAS10) before and after infection or vaccination was used as an objective measure [22].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS program (version 22). Fisher's exact test and Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare two groups of categorical variables. All tests used were two-tailed and a P-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Republic of Slovenia. Written informed consent was obtained from parents or caregivers and from patients, if older than 15 years.

Results

Study group

We collected data for 115 pARD and divided them into two groups. We included 92 pARD after SARS-CoV-2 infection (Group 1) and 47 pARD after vaccination with two doses of the BNT162b2 Comirnaty vaccine (Group 2). Of those, 24 patients were included in both groups as they were infected with SARS-CoV-2 before or after vaccination. Study group characteristics are summarised in Table 1. The mean age was 13.4 (S.D.=4.1) years in Group 1, and 15.9 (S.D.=2.4) years in Group 2; 73% of patients were female in Group 1, and 64% in Group 2. The most common diagnosis was JIA, with 81% in Group 1 and 86% in Group 2. Other diagnoses such as SLE, vasculitis, uveitis, jDM, CRMO, jLS, rheumatic fever, CAPS, and UCTD were less common (Table 1).

In 47 pARD, a total of 94 vaccinations were performed. On average, patients received the second dose of vaccine 3.7 (S.D.=1.4) weeks after the first dose.

Altogether, we registered 103 SARS-CoV-2 infections. In 94 (91%) cases, a nasal swab was collected and either a real time RT-PCR or rapid antigen test for SARS-CoV-2 was performed. The results were positive in 65 (69%) and negative in 29 (31%) cases. Of the patients with a negative SARS-CoV-2 direct test, 20 (69%) reported contact with a SARS-CoV-2 positive person (positive contact) and had typical clinical symptoms of COVID-19. The other 9 (31%) also reported positive contact but did not recall any symptoms of COVID-19. In these patients, SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed retrospectively with serology.

SARS-CoV-2 testing data were not available for 9 (9%) cases. Seven (78%) reported positive contact and typical clinical symptoms of COVID-19. The other two (22%) also reported positive contact but no clinical symptoms, thus SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed retrospectively by positive IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies.

In Group 1, 69 (75%) patients were receiving immunomodulatory medications (Table 2). Twenty-three (25%) were using conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs), 30 (33%) were using biologic DMARDs (bDMARDs), and 16 (17%) were using a combination of csDMARDs and bDMARDs. Fifty-one (74%) were receiving one, 16 (24%) were receiving two, one (1%) was receiving three, and one (1%) was receiving four medications. Twenty-three (25%) patients were not taking any medications at the time of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

In Group 2, 32 (68%) patients were receiving immunomodulatory medications (Table 2). Ten (21%) were

Table 2 Medications among patients

Medication	Group 1 ^{<i>a</i>} (<i>n</i> =90)	Group 2 ^b
		(n=47)
NSAIDs ^c , n (%)	1 (1)	0 (0)
GCS ^d , n (%)	5 (6)	0 (0)
csDMARDs ^e		
Hydroxychloroquine, n (%)	3 (3)	1 (2)
Sulfasalazine, n (%)	1 (1)	2 (4)
Methotrexat, n (%)	23 (25)	12 (26)
Leflunomide, n (%)	3 (3)	4 (9)
Mycophenolate mofetil, n (%)	5 (6)	3 (7)
Cyclosporine, n (%)	1 (1)	2 (4)
Azathioprine, n (%)	1 (1)	0 (0)
bDMARDs ^f		
TNFa inhibitors, <i>n</i> (%)	37 (41)	19 (40)
IL-6 inhibitors, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (2)	2 (4)
IL-1 inhibitors, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (5)	1 (2)
Rituximab, n (%)	2 (2)	0 (0)
Abatacept, n (%)	2 (2)	0 (0)
Targeted synthetic DMARDs ^g		
Baricitinib, n (%)	1 (1)	1 (2)

^a Group 1 – pARD after infection, ^b Group 2 – pARD after vaccination, ^c NSAIDs – nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs,

 $^{\rm d}$ GCS – glucocorticosteroids, $^{\rm e}$ csDMARDs – conventional syntetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs

^f bDMARDs – biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, ^g Targeted synthetic DMARDs – targeted synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs

vaccination against COVID-19. The mean JADAS10 score in patients with JIA before vaccination was 1.1 (S.D.=1.75). Three patients with JIA had a JADAS10 score≥5, their scores were 5, 6, and 7, respectively. Before vaccination, patients with other diagnoses were mostly in remission. The exception was one patient with jLS who had active lesions, but she was vaccinated before her first visit to the clinic.

Clinical presentation of COVID-19

Altogether we recorded 103 infections in 92 patients, of whom 11 (12%) got the infection twice. The mean time between the first and the second infection was 45.6 (S.D.=13.1) weeks. In 14 (14%) cases, the infection was asymptomatic, 69 (67%) had a mild and 19 (18%) a moderate clinical presentation. One (1%) patient required hospitalization.

The first pARD in Slovenia were vaccinated at the end of March 2021. A subgroup of patients who had COVID-19 between April 2021 and April 2022 consisted of 62 patients. Nineteen (31%) patients were fully vaccinated; 16 (84%) of them had a mild and 3 (16%) a moderate clinical presentation. On average, the vaccinated patients contracted SARS-CoV-2 21.3 (S.D.=9.2) weeks after receiving the second dose of the BNT162b2 Comirnaty vaccine. Three of these 19 patients contracted the infection twice, first before vaccination (before April 2021) and for the second time after receiving both doses of vaccine. None of the patients were infected between the first and the second vaccination.

The other 43 (69%) patients were infected between April 2021 and April 2022 and were not vaccinated; three (7%) were asymptomatic, 30 (70%) had a mild and nine (21%) had a moderate clinical presentation, one (2%) required hospitalization. It seems that pARD who were not vaccinated before COVID-19 had a more challenging clinical presentation of the infection than those who received two doses of the vaccine before they were infected. The difference in the severity of clinical presentation of COVID-19 between vaccinated and unvaccinated pARD was not statistically significant (p=0.31). The one patient that required hospitalization due to SARS-CoV-2 infection was unvaccinated.

We also compared the severity of the clinical presentation of COVID-19 between pARD who were receiving medication and those who were not on any medication at the time of infection. The results were not statistically significant (p=0.31). Furthermore, we compared the severity of the clinical presentation of COVID-19

Patient number	Diagnosis	Severity of relapse	JADAS10 (before)	JADAS10 (after)
Group 1 (after infe	ction)			
1	JIA ^a	Mild ^c	0	3
2 ^g	JIA	Mild	1	1
3	JIA	Mild	0	4
4	Sjögren's syndrome	Mild	N/A ^f	N/A
5	JIA	Moderate ^d	3	5
6	JIA	Moderate	8	10
7	JIA	Moderate	3	19
8	JIA	Moderate	N/A	N/A
9	Chronic idiopathic uveitis	Moderate	N/A	N/A
10	sJIA ^b	Severe ^e	N/A	N/A
Group 2 (after vac	cination)			
11	JIA	Mild	1	8
12	JIA	Mild	0	6
13	AIL	Moderate	6	18

^a JIA – juvenile idiopathic arthritis, ^b SJIA – systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis, ^c Mild relapse – no treatment adjustment was required, ^d Moderate relapse – required a treatment adjustment, ^e Severe relapse – required a treatment adjustment in the hospital (hospitalization), ^fN/A – not applicable, ^g Patient had a transient worsening by description of the parents, on examination JADAS10 before and after was the same (problems subsided before we saw the patient for a check-up)

 Table 4
 Clinical presentation of COVID-19 and relapse of ARD after infection

Clinical presentation of COVID-19	All infections	Re- lapse N (%)
Asymptomatic ^a	14	2 (14)
Mild ^b	69	4 (6)
Moderate ^c	19	3 (16)
Hospitalization ^d	1	1 (100)

^a Asymptomatic infection – no reported symptoms, ^b Mild clinical presentation – loss of smell and/or taste, fever of 38 °C or less for up to two days of, mild headache and/or cough with no other symptoms, ^c Moderate clinical presentation – fever lasting more than two days or above than 38 °C, myalgia, and/or other symptoms not included in the mild clinical presentation subcategory, ^d Hospitalization – because of COVID-19 patient required hospitalization

between pARD without medication and pARD who were receiving bDMARDs at the time of infection. Again, the results were not statistically significant (p=0.68).

Relapse rate of autoimmune rheumatic disease after COVID-19 and after vaccination against COVID-19

Of 103 infections with SARS-CoV-2, relapse of ARD was registered after 10 (10%) infections. The relapse was mild in four and moderate in five cases. One patient had a severe relapse of ARD and required hospitalization.

Among pARD who had a relapse after infection (Group 1), seven had JIA, one had systemic JIA (sJIA), and the other two had Sjögren's syndrome and chronic idio-pathic uveitis, respectively. One patient among the seven with JIA had a relapse of uveitis, so JADAS10 could not be evaluated. For the patients who had a relapse of ARD after COVID-19, the JADAS10 before and after the infection are represented in Table 3, where applicable.

On average the before JADAS10 in Group 1 was evaluated 10.1 (S.D.=7.5) weeks before the infection, and the after JADAS10 7.2 (S.D.=4.4) weeks after the infection.

Patients in Group 1 who had a relapse of ARD after infection seem to have had a more challenging clinical presentation of the infection than those who did not have a relapse (Table 4). However, the difference in relapse rate depending on clinical presentation of COVID-19 was not statistically significant (p=0.25).

In Group 2, three (6%) among 47 vaccinated pARD had a relapse of ARD after vaccination. The relapse was mild in two pARD and moderate in one pARD. The patient who had a moderate relapse of disease after vaccination had an active ARD before vaccination with a JADAS10 score of 6. Severe relapses of ARD within this group were not recorded.

All three patients who had a relapse of ARD after vaccination had JIA. JADAS10 before and after vaccination against COVID-19 are presented in Table 3. On average the before JADAS10 in Group 2 was evaluated 5.7 (S.D.=1.2) weeks before the first dose of vaccine, and the after JADAS10 6.3 (S.D.=5.2) weeks after patients received the dose of the vaccine that caused the relapse. Two pARD had a relapse after the second dose and one after the first dose, however, he was still able to get the second dose as planned (4 weeks after the first dose).

The difference between the relapse rate in Group 1 (after infection) and Group 2 (after vaccination) was not statistically significant (p=0.76). Moderate relapse of ARD was more common after the infection compared to vaccination, but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.19).

We looked at the occurrence of a relapse of ARD within three groups of patients: without medication, on bDMARDs, and on other medication, at the time of infection or vaccination. The results are presented in Table 5. No statistically significant differences were noted (p=0.09 after infection and p=0.30 after vaccination).

Discussion

This prospective single-centre study focused on the clinical presentation of COVID-19 in pARD and the relapse rate of ARD in patients after COVID-19 infection and vaccination. The research was done amongst children, adolescents, and young adults with ARD. Currently, there are only a few studies reporting on the relapse rate of

 Table 3
 pARD with a relapse of ARD after infection and after vaccination with JADAS10 where applicable

 Table 5
 Relapse of ARD after infection and vaccination based on medication of ARD

	No medication N (%)	On bDMARDs N (%)	On other medica- tion N (%)
Group 1 (after infection)			
Relapse YES	0 (0)	8 (8)	2 (2)
Relapse NO	25 (24)	44 (43)	24 (23)
Group 2 (after vaccination	ר)		
Relapse YES	0 (0)	3 (6)	0 (0)
Relapse NO	15 (32)	19 (41)	10 (21)

ARD after COVID-19 and/or after vaccination against COVID-19 [8, 11, 23]. Therefore, this study contributes to the enrichment of knowledge in this field.

Clinical presentation of COVID-19

In our study, most patients were asymptomatic (14%) or had a mild clinical presentation (67%). Together, they accounted for 81% of all infections. A moderate clinical presentation was present in 18% of patients, and one patient (1%) was hospitalized. No-one suffered respiratory distress, and no-one required oxygen. The one patient who required hospitalization was admitted for dehydration. He had not been vaccinated prior to infection. None of the patients presented with MIS-C symptoms. Both patients treated with rituximab did not require hospitalization; one presented with a mild and the other with a moderate clinical presentation of COVID-19. In case of infection with delta virus they were advised to receive Regeneron, however, one notified us about the disease only after three weeks, and the other one had the omicron virus, so none received the antibodies. They also did not experience a relapse of rheumatic disease after COVID-19.

These findings are comparable to the available research. In a Turkish study by Sozeri et al., 113 children and adolescents with ARD on bDMARDs who recovered from COVID-19 were enrolled; 42 (37%) were asymptomatic, and 71 (63%) had COVID-19 symptoms. Of these 113 patients, 24 (21%) required hospitalization, and two (2%) even required intensive care therapy [24]. Another study done in the USA by Villacis-Nunez et al. included 55 children and adolescents with ARD after COVID-19 infection. Of the 55 patients, 10 (18%) were asymptomatic, 35 (64%) had a mild or moderate clinical presentation, and 10 (18%) were hospitalized [5].

In our study, asymptomatic clinical presentation (14%) was less common than reported in previously published studies. This was directly reflected in a higher number of patients with mild and moderate clinical presentation (85%). However, it was interesting to note, that only one patient (1%) required hospitalization, compared with 24

(21%) in the Turkish study, and 10 (18%) in the American study.

Relapse rate of rheumatic disease

Regarding the main objective of this study, we recorded 10 (10%) relapses of ARD after infection (Group 1) and 3 (6%) relapses of ARD after vaccination (Group 2). We observed a more challenging clinical presentation of COVID-19 in patients from Group 1 who later had a relapse of ARD, however, the connection between the severity of clinical presentation of COVID-19 and the relapse rate of ARD was not statistically significant (p=0.25).

It is important to note, that in Group 1, four (40%) relapses were mild, five (50%) were moderate, and one (10%) was severe, and the patient required hospitalization to stabilize her ARD. In Group 2, two (66%) relapses were mild, one (33%) was moderate, and no one had a severe relapse of ARD after vaccination. Furthermore, the patient who had a moderate relapse of ARD after vaccination had an active disease with a JADAS10 score of 6 before vaccination. Usually, we aim to vaccinate pARD when the disease is in remission [25]. However, because of the uncertainty surrounding COVID-19, there was a higher risk of infection, so pARD got vaccinated regardless of their current disease status.

We also observed that of the ten pARD who had a relapse after infection (Group 1), two patients received both doses of the vaccine before contracting COVID-19, and the other eight received none. It is important to note, that the two vaccinated patients had a relapse of the ARD twice. First, after they received the second dose of the BNT162b2 Comirnaty vaccine, and for the second time after COVID-19. Therefore, these two patients also represent two thirds of pARD who had a relapse after vaccination (Group 2). They had COVID-19 24.1 and 15.4 weeks, respectively, after second vaccination with BNT162b2 Comirnaty vaccine.

There was no statistically significant difference between relapse rates after infection and after vaccination (p=0.75). However, there was a trend towards higher and more challenging relapse after the infection compared to vaccination.

Only a few studies have been published, reporting relapse rates of ARD in children and adolescents after COVID-19 or vaccination against COVID-19. A German study included 988 children with JIA and serology for COVID-19 was determined in 178 of them. Of these, 13 samples were positive. A relapse of ARD was observed in 7 out of the 13 children (54%). Two children had signs of arthritis flare after discontinuation of medication, and the remaining five had a relapse of ARD after COVID-19 even though the medications for their ARD remained unchanged [8]. We can conclude that the relapse rate of ARD after COVID-19 in our study (10%) is much lower compared to the German study (54%). In our study, one patient had a relapse after stopping the bDMARDs and the second one had a relapse of ARD after lowering the dose of steroids, both changing their medications during the infection. This is comparable to the available data because we know that discontinuation of medication for ARD during COVID-19 can often lead to the exacerbation of ARD [26].

A Turkish study by Haslak et al. enrolled 246 vaccinated adolescents with autoinflammatory and rheumatic diseases. They recorded a relapse in 27 (12%) patients [25]. An Israeli study by Henshin-Bekenstein included 91 adolescents with juvenile-onset autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases. They reported changes in immunomodulatory drugs for eight (9%) patients after the first dose and for an additional four patients (5%) after the second dose of the BNT162b2 Comirnaty vaccine. Worsening of ARD symptoms was noted in five (6%) patients after the first dose and in one (1%) after the second dose, possibly demonstrating that not every medication change was a result of a disease relapse [11]. These results are mostly comparable with the relapse rate of ARD in our study (6%). It is important to note, that one of our patients with a relapse of ARD after vaccination was not in remission at the time of receiving the vaccine (JADAS10 score of 6), and the second one discontinued part of her ARD medications on her own. Therefore, we cannot say with certainty that the relapse occurred because of vaccination.

The strength of this study is a relatively large study sample compared to the other published studies. Additionally, to our knowledge, this is the first study comparing relapse rates of ARD in paediatric patients after COVID-19 and after vaccination against COVID-19. Furthermore, it is important to note, that pARD, who were vaccinated, received two doses of the vaccine, respectively, but we counted both events as one, because the time span between the two doses was too short for the evaluation of the disease relapse after every dose.

This study also has some limitations. First and foremost, we must acknowledge the age difference in the patients enrolled in the study. Patients in Group 1 (after infection) ranged from 2 to 23 years, and patients in Group 2 (after vaccination) ranged from 10 to 21 years, since most vaccinated patients were 12 years old or older. The study only included patients from University Children's Hospital Ljubljana, meaning it is a single-centre experience.

Conclusions

Relapses of ARD are possible after COVID-19 as well as after vaccination against COVID-19. This study shows a trend toward a higher relapse rate after infection compared to vaccination, but further studies are needed. It is important to note, even though it was not proven statistically significant, that patients who got COVID-19 after receiving two doses of the BNT162b2 Comirnaty vaccine had a milder and less demanding clinical presentation of the disease. Furthermore, we observed a tendency for more frequent relapses of ARD, when the patient had a more challenging clinical presentation of COVID-19. However, it must be noted, that the results were not statistically significant.

Abbreviations

ARD	Autoimmune rheumatic diseases
bDMARDs	Biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs
CAPS	Cyopyrin-associated periodic syndrome
csDMARDs	conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic
	drugs
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
CRMO	chronic recurrent multifocal oseomyelitis
JADAS10	Juvenile arthritis disease activity score 10
jDM	juvenile dermatomyositis
JIA	Juvenile idiopathic arthritis
jLS	juvenile localized scleroderma
pARD	Paediatric patients with autoimmune rheumatic diseases
SARS-CoV-2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
sJIA	Systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis
SLE	Systemic lupus erythematosus
UCTD	Undifferentiated connective tissue disease
VAS	Visual analogue scale

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12969-023-00829-4.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff physicians and nurses of the Department of allergology, rheumatology and clinical immunology for their contributions. We thank all patients and their caregivers for participating in the study.

Author contributions

TŠS was the principal investigator of the study under the mentorship of NT. TŠS and NT conceptualized and edited the manuscript. MK and TAŽ were performing the part of the study on serology for IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and wrote the methods on this subject. NT, MZA and TA followed the included patients. All authors edited the manuscript and significantly contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was partially supported by the University Medical Centre Ljubljana Grant number 20220051 and by Research grant ARRS project number J3-3061.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Republic of Slovenia, reference number 0120–485/2021/6. Written informed consent was obtained from parents or caregivers and from patients, if older than 15 years.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Allergology, Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University Children's Hospital, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Bohoričeva ulica 20, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia
²Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

³Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Received: 25 February 2023 / Accepted: 13 May 2023 Published online: 19 May 2023

References

- 1. Toptan T, Ciesek S, Hoehl S. Pediatrics and COVID-19. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2021;1318:197–208.
- Patel NA, Pediatric. COVID-19: systematic review of the literature. Am J Otolaryngol. 2020;41(5):102573.
- Makowska J, Styrzyński F. Between COVID-19 severity and its prevention what should rheumatologists be aware of? Reumatologia. 2021;59(1):1–2.
- Ye C, Cai S, Shen G, Guan H, Zhou L, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of rheumatic patients infected with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020;79(8):1007–13.
- Villacis-Nunez DS, Rostad CA, Rouster-Stevens K, Khosroshahi A, Chandrakasan S, Prahalad S. Outcomes of COVID-19 in a cohort of pediatric patients with rheumatic diseases. Pediatr Rheumatol Online J. 2021;19(1):94.
- DeBiasi RL, Song X, Delaney M, Bell M, Smith K, Pershad J, et al. Severe coronavirus Disease-2019 in children and young adults in the Washington, DC, Metropolitan Region. J Pediatr. 2020;223:199–203.
- Kearsley-Fleet L, Chang ML, Lawson-Tovey S, Costello R, Fingerhutová Å, Švestková N, et al. Outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection among children and young people with pre-existing rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. Ann Rheum Dis. 2022;81(7):998–1005.
- Hügle B, Krumrey-Langkammerer M, Haas JP. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 causes flares in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis in remission or inactive disease on medication. Pediatr Rheumatol Online J. 2021;19(1):163.
- Verdecia M, Kokai-Kun JF, Kibbey M, Acharya S, Venema J, Atouf F. COVID-19 vaccine platforms: delivering on a promise? Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2021;17(9):2873–93.
- 10. Park JW, Lagniton PNP, Liu Y, Xu RH. mRNA vaccines for COVID-19: what, why and how. Int J Biol Sci. 2021;17(6):1446–60.
- Heshin-Bekenstein M, Ziv A, Toplak N, Hagin D, Kadishevich D, Butbul YA, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in adolescents with rheumatic diseases treated with immunomodulatory medications. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2022;61(11):4263–72.
- Petty RE, Southwood TR, Manners P, Baum J, Glass DN, Goldenberg J, et al. International League of Associations for Rheumatology classification of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: second revision, Edmonton, 2001. J Rheumatol. 2004;31(2):390–2.
- 13. Petri M, Orbai AM, Alarcón GS, Gordon C, Merrill JT, Fortin PR, et al. Derivation and validation of the systemic Lupus International collaborating clinics

classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64(8):2677–86.

- Jennette JC, Falk RJ, Andrassy K, Bacon PA, Churg J, Gross WL, et al. Nomenclature of systemic vasculitides. Proposal of an international consensus conference. Arthritis Rheum. 1994;37(2):187–92.
- Lundberg IE, Tjärnlund A, Bottai M, Werth VP, Pilkington C, Visser M, et al. 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(12):1955–64.
- Roderick MR, Shah R, Rogers V, Finn A, Ramanan AV. Chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis (CRMO) - advancing the diagnosis. Pediatr Rheumatol Online J. 2016;14(1):47.
- Gewitz MH, Baltimore RS, Tani LY, Sable CA, Shulman ST, Carapetis J, et al. Revision of the Jones Criteria for the diagnosis of acute rheumatic fever in the era of Doppler echocardiography: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2015;131(20):1806–18.
- Kuemmerle-Deschner JB, Ozen S, Tyrrell PN, Kone-Paut I, Goldbach-Mansky R, Lachmann H, et al. Diagnostic criteria for cryopyrin-associated periodic syndrome (CAPS). Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(6):942–7.
- Resman Rus K, Korva M, Knap N, Avšič Županc T, Poljak M. Performance of the rapid high-throughput automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassay targeting total antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor binding domain in comparison to the neutralization assay. J Clin Virol. 2021;139:104820.
- Poljak M, Oštrbenk Valenčak A, Štrumbelj E, Maver Vodičar P, Vehovar V, Resman Rus K et al. Seroprevalence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in Slovenia: results of two rounds of a nationwide population study on a probability-based sample, challenges and lessons learned. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021;27(7):1039.e1-1039.e7.
- Poljak M, Oštrbenk Valenčak A, Štamol T, Seme K. Head-to-head comparison of two rapid high-throughput automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassays targeting total antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein and spike protein receptor binding domain. J Clin Virol. 2021;137:104784.
- Consolaro A, Ruperto N, Bazso A, Pistorio A, Magni-Manzoni S, Filocamo G, et al. Development and validation of a composite disease activity score for juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;61(5):658–66.
- Haslak F, Gunalp A, Cebi MN, Yildiz M, Adrovic A, Sahin S, et al. Early experience of COVID-19 vaccine-related adverse events among adolescents and young adults with rheumatic diseases: a single-center study. Int J Rheum Dis. 2022;25(3):353–63.
- Sozeri B, Ulu K, Kaya-Akça U, Haslak F, Pac-Kisaarslan A, Otar-Yener G, et al. The clinical course of SARS-CoV-2 infection among children with rheumatic disease under biologic therapy: a retrospective and multicenter study. Rheumatol Int. 2022;42(3):469–75.
- Jansen MHA, Rondaan C, Legger GE, Minden K, Uziel Y, Toplak N, et al. EULAR/ PRES recommendations for vaccination of paediatric patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases: update 2021. Ann Rheum Dis. 2023;82(1):35–47.
- Sözeri B, Demir F, Kalın S, Hasbal Akkuş C, Salı E, Çakır D. SARS-CoV-2 infection in children with rheumatic disease: experience of a tertiary referral center. Arch Rheumatol. 2021;36(3):381–8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.